

COLLOT GUERARD

JOSHUA DOAN

MIRY KIM

(Each appearing pursuant to DUCivR 83-1.1(d)(1))

600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., CC-8528

Washington, D.C. 20580

Telephone: (202) 326-3338

cguerard@ftc.gov

jdoan@ftc.gov

mkim@ftc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

THOMAS M. MELTON (4999)

ROBERT G. WING (4445)

DOUGLAS DEVORE (11170)

KEVIN MCLEAN (16101)

Assistant Attorney General

Utah Attorney General's Office

160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Telephone: 801-366-0310

tmelton@agutah.gov

rwing@agutah.gov

ddevore@agutah.gov

kmclean@agutah.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UTAH DIVISION OF CONSUMER PROTECTION

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, and

UTAH DIVISION OF CONSUMER
PROTECTION,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case Number :

**COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT
INJUNCTION AND OTHER
EQUITABLE RELIEF**

ZURIXX, LLC, a Utah limited liability company,

CARLSON DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC; a Utah limited liability company,

CJ SEMINAR HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah limited liability company,

ZURIXX FINANCIAL, LLC, a Utah limited liability company,

CRISTOPHER A. CANNON, individually and as an officer of ZURIXX, LLC,

JAMES M. CARLSON, individually and as an officer of ZURIXX, LLC, and

JEFFREY D. SPANGLER, individually and as an officer of ZURIXX, LLC

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) and the Utah Division of Consumer Protection (“Division”), for the Complaint allege:

1. The FTC brings this action under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTC Act”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and the Consumer Review Fairness Act (“CRFA”), 15 U.S.C. § 45b, to obtain temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, and other equitable relief for Defendants’ acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and the CRFA, 15 U.S.C. § 45b.

2. The Division brings this action pursuant to the authority granted by Utah Code §§ 13-2-5(3), 13-11-17, 13-15-6, and 15 U.S.C. § 45b. The Division seeks, among other things, temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts,

restitution, the refund of monies paid, disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, civil penalties, fines, and other equitable relief for Defendants' acts, omissions, or practices in violation of the Utah Consumer Sales Practices Act ("UCSPA"), Utah Code § 13-11-1 *et seq.*, and the Business Opportunity Disclosure Act ("BODA"), Utah Code § 13-15-1 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.

4. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Division's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

5. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

SUMMARY OF THE CASE

6. Since at least July 2013 and continuing through the present, Defendants Zurixx, LLC, Carlson Development Group, LLC, CJ Seminar Holdings, LLC, and Zurixx Financial, LLC (collectively "Zurixx") – led by individual defendants Cristopher Cannon, James Carlson, and Jeffrey Spangler – have marketed and sold real estate investment products and services ("products") that purport to allow consumers to make thousands of dollars in profit using Zurixx's system.

7. Zurixx's "system" comprises two flipping strategies: "fix and flip" and "wholesale flips." A "fix and flip" involves purchasing and renovating a property before selling it to an end user. A "wholesale flip" involves acquiring an interest in a property and then transferring that interest to a wholesale buyer, who will, in turn, fix and flip the property.

8. To entice consumers to purchase its products, Zurixx routinely hosts free live events that depict celebrities from house flipping and home renovation television programs, who indicate that their team of “experts” will teach consumers how to make money by following their system of real estate investing.

9. During the free events, Zurixx repeatedly represents that consumers who sign up for its 3-day workshop are likely to earn thousands of dollars in profit, often with little risk, time, or effort. Zurixx also represents that consumers who purchase the workshop will receive 100% funding for their real estate investments regardless of their credit history. It backs up these representations with a money-back guarantee – consumers who do not make “a minimum of three times” the price of the 3-day workshop within six months will receive their money back.

10. For example, a Zurixx presenter made the following representations during a December 2018 free event in Georgia:

Not only are [Tarek and Christina El Moussa] going to give you a professional education, not only are they going to give you a list of all their lending partners to fund all your deals plus to rehab regardless of your credit, not only are they going to give you the cash buyers list so you have the buyers, but they are going to guarantee that you or your partners closes a deal within three months, you get your money back...

11. A Zurixx presenter at a June 2018 free event in California told attendees, “[i]f it doesn’t work for you, we still give you \$2,000 back. This really is a no-lose situation as long as you try it.”

12. Zurixx’s representations are false or unsubstantiated. Consumers are unlikely to earn thousands of dollars in profit from real estate investments by using Zurixx’s products. Consumers are unlikely to receive 100% funding for real estate deals through Zurixx or its partners and affiliates. Moreover, Zurixx’s six-month money-back guarantee contains

substantial limitations that Zurixx fails to disclose adequately until after consumers have paid for the 3-day workshop.

13. Many dissatisfied consumers have requested refunds from Zurixx. When Zurixx agrees to refund consumers' money, it routinely provides only a partial refund, which in many instances, Zurixx conditions on the consumers signing an agreement barring them from speaking with the FTC, state Attorneys General, and other regulators, submitting complaints to the Better Business Bureau, or posting negative reviews or complaints about Zurixx and its products.

14. In perpetrating its scheme, Zurixx has violated the FTC Act, the CRFA, the UCSPA, and the BODA.

PLAINTIFFS

15. The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The FTC also enforces the CRFA, 15 U.S.C. § 45b. The CRFA prohibits the offering of provisions in form contracts that restrict individual consumers' ability to communicate reviews, performance assessments, and similar analyses about a seller's products, services, or conduct.

16. The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and the CRFA and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 57b, and the CRFA, 15 U.S.C. § 45b.

17. The Division is an agency of the State of Utah created by statute. Utah Code § 13-2-1(1). The Division administers and enforces the UCSPA, which prohibits deceptive acts and practices in connection with consumer transactions. It administers and enforces the BODA, which requires sellers of assisted marketing plans to file certain information with the Division and to provide disclosures to prospective purchasers.

18. The Division is authorized to take legal action against persons who violate the UCSPA and the BODA to enjoin violations of the acts, seek other equitable relief, and to obtain damages, fines, civil penalties, fees, and costs. Utah Code §§ 13-2-5(3); 13-11-17(1)(a)-(d); 13-15-6(3).

DEFENDANTS

19. Defendant **Zurixx, LLC** is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business at 2750 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 200, Cottonwood Heights, Utah 84121. It does business under numerous fictitious names including, but not limited to, Advanced Financial Training, Advanced Real Estate Education, Doug Hopkins Real Estate Formula, Fast Track Flips, Flip Advantage, Flip It Forward, Flippin' On The Side, Flipping Formula Education, Good Flips, High Point Training, LLC, Property Wars, Real Estate Elevated, Rules of Renovation, Side Flips, Success Path Education, Two Chicks and Training Tools, and Winning the Property War. Zurixx, LLC transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, Zurixx, LLC has advertised, marketed, distributed, or sold the real estate investment products at issue in this Complaint to consumers throughout the United States.

20. Defendant **Carlson Development Group, LLC** (“CDG”) is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business at 2750 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 200, Cottonwood Heights, Utah 84121. CDG is a manager of Zurixx Financial, LLC. CDG transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, CDG, by way of Zurixx Financial LLC and through Zurixx, LLC, has advertised, marketed, distributed, or sold the real estate investment products at issue in this Complaint to consumers throughout the United States.

21. Defendant **CJ Seminar Holdings, LLC** (“CJ Seminar”) is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business at 2750 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 200, Cottonwood Heights, Utah 84121. CJ Seminar is a member of Zurixx, LLC. CJ Seminar transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, CJ Seminar, as a member of Zurixx, LLC, has advertised, marketed, distributed, or sold the real estate investment products at issue in this Complaint to consumers throughout the United States.

22. Defendant **Zurixx Financial, LLC** (“Zurixx Financial”) is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business at 2750 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 200, Cottonwood Heights, Utah 84121. Zurixx Financial also operates out of 12 Dorado Beach East, Dorado, Puerto Rico. Zurixx Financial is a manager of Zurixx, LLC. Zurixx Financial transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, Zurixx Financial, as a manager of Zurixx, LLC, has advertised, marketed, distributed, or sold the real estate investment products at issue in this Complaint to consumers throughout the United States.

23. Defendant **Cristopher A. Cannon** is an officer of Zurixx, LLC and a manager of CJ Seminar. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Cannon, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

24. Defendant **James M. Carlson** is the chief executive officer of Zurixx, LLC and manager of CDG. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Carlson, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

25. Defendant **Jeffrey D. Spangler** is an officer of Zurixx, LLC and a manager of CJ Seminar. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Spangler, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

DEFENDANTS ARE A COMMON ENTERPRISE

26. Defendants Zurixx, LLC, CDG, CJ Seminar, and Zurixx Financial (collectively “Corporate Defendants” or “Zurixx”) have operated as a common enterprise while engaging in the deceptive acts or practices and other violations of the law alleged herein. Corporate Defendants have conducted the business practices described herein through an interrelated and interdependent network of companies that have a common business purpose, ownership, officers, managers, members, business functions, and office locations. Because Corporate Defendants

have operated as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged herein. Individual Defendants Cristopher A. Cannon, James M. Carlson, and Jeffrey D. Spangler have formulated, directed, controlled, or had the authority to control the acts or practices of the Corporate Defendants that constitute the common enterprise.

COMMERCE

27. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as “commerce” is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

ZURIXX’S BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

28. Since at least July 2013, Zurixx has advertised, marketed, distributed, promoted, and sold its products to consumers throughout the United States and Canada.

29. Zurixx has sold its products under numerous brand names including, but not limited to: Advanced Financial Training, Advanced Real Estate Education, Doug Hopkins Real Estate Formula, Fast Track Flips, Flip Advantage, Flip It Forward, Flippin’ On The Side, Flipping Formula Education, Good Flips, High Point Training, LLC, Property Wars, Real Estate Elevated, Rules of Renovation, Side Flips, Success Path Education, Two Chicks and Training Tools, and Winning the Property War.

30. The advertised “retail” prices for Zurixx’s products typically range from \$5,995 to \$73,973; the “discounted” prices typically range from \$1,997 to \$41,297.

31. Zurixx claims to have sold its products to more than 70,000 individuals.

32. Zurixx’s products are “assisted marketing plans” as defined by the BODA because the products cost \$500 or more and are sold for the purpose of enabling consumers to

start a real estate investing business. Utah Code § 13-15-2(1). In addition, Zurixx has represented that its real estate investment products will enable the consumer to derive a profit that exceeds the price paid for the products. Utah Code § 13-15-2(1)(a)(iv).

33. Zurixx is a “seller” as that term is defined by the BODA because Zurixx has sold or offered to sell assisted marketing plans. Utah Code § 13-15-2(8).

34. Zurixx has sold assisted marketing plans, but has not filed the required information with the Division, including names and addresses of the business, trademarks or trade names, the business experience of the company’s directors and officers, amounts to be paid by consumers, a statement of services the seller will perform for the purchaser, a statement of representations made to prospective purchasers, a copy of any contracts, numbers of marketing plans sold to date, and numbers of cancelled sales.

35. Zurixx has sold assisted marketing plans to consumers, but has not provided consumers with a disclosure statement containing the following warning after any earnings representation:

CAUTION

No guarantee of earnings or ranges of earnings can be made. The number of purchasers who have earned through this business an amount in excess of the amount their initial payment is at least ____ which represents ____% of the total number of purchasers of this business opportunity.

Utah Code §§ 13-15-4; 13-15-5.

Zurixx’s Free Teaser Event

36. In an effort to lure consumers into purchasing its products, Zurixx conducts free live events throughout the country.

37. Zurixx markets its free events via direct mailers, the internet, email, radio, social media, and newspaper advertisements.

38. Zurixx has routinely advertised its free events as sponsored by celebrities from fix-and-flip and home renovation television programs including, among others, Peter Souhleris and Dave Seymour from the A&E show “Flipping Boston,” Hilary Farr from the HGTV show “Love It or List It,” and Tarek and Christina El Moussa from the HGTV show “Flip or Flop.”

39. Zurixx invites consumers to attend the free event to learn how to make thousands of dollars in profit by investing in real estate “using other people’s money.”

40. In some instances, the free event starts with welcome videos by celebrity endorsers who indicate that their team of “experts” will teach consumers how to make money by following their system of real estate investing.

41. In numerous instances at the free event, Zurixx teaches consumers very little, if anything, about how to make thousands of dollars in profit by investing in real estate. Instead, Zurixx uses the free event to sell its 3-day workshop, which it advertises as retailing for \$5,995. It routinely uses misrepresentations to convince consumers to pay the “discounted” price of \$1,997 for the workshop, a price Zurixx represents is available only at the free event.

**Misrepresentations At The Free Event That Consumers Are Likely To Earn
Thousands Of Dollars In Profit Through Real Estate Investing**

42. To persuade attendees to pay for the 3-day workshop, Zurixx routinely makes earnings claims and shares testimonials about or from purportedly successful purchasers of its

products. For example, Zurixx presenters made the following representations between March 2018 and July 2019 in California, Florida, Georgia, and Virginia:

- A. "... our students are making right now in this area anywhere from \$60,000 to upwards of \$150,000 per flip, per transaction they do."
- B. "The average profit margin's about 20 percent [per flip]. So on a \$500,000, I expect to walk away with...\$100,000 on one check."
- C. The "average profit last year alone on a flip was about \$68,000, which, by the way, represent a 40 percent – just under a 50 percent ROI...Is 50 percent, is that a pretty good ROI?"
- D. "... the average profit margin up here is about 25 percent. So 25 percent of \$200,000 is \$50,000 per flip."
- E. "... average fix and flip profit margin in this country per house, do you want to know why so many people are loving and doing it, 68,000 dollars, per deal."
- F. "As far as expected profits – and these are averages for this area – on average with wholesale deals, you can expect to put \$3,000 to \$15,000 cash in your pocket. Now, flipping is where you are going to make your bigger paychecks, \$20,000, 50--, 100--...."
- G. "... you're making \$15,000, \$20,000" with a wholesale deal.
- H. "Now write this down, in this area finder's fee, low end, are going to be 6 grand, high end 20" for a wholesale deal.

43. Zurixx's earnings claims are false and unsubstantiated. Consumers who attend its 3-day workshop are not likely to earn thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

**Misrepresentations At The Free Event That Consumers Will Receive
100% Funding For Their Real Estate Investments**

44. Zurixx routinely promises that consumers will have access to lenders that will provide 100% funding for consumers' real estate deals, including any rehabilitation costs. In

some instances, Zurixx represents that consumers will obtain 100% funding regardless of their credit score or background.

45. In numerous instances, Zurixx represents to consumers that they will not have to use any of their own money for real estate deals because they will be using “other people’s money”. In some instances, Zurixx represents that consumers will incur “no liability” investing in real estate. For example, Zurixx presenters made the following representations between March 2018 and July 2019 in California, Florida, Georgia, and Virginia:

- A. We have “our own group of private money lenders who will put up 100 percent of the money to fund your real estate deals, including rehab with no regard to your personal income, assets or credit.”
- B. “Would you like to know how you can make some immediate profits in real estate without using any money, none of your, none of ours, and have no liability, yes or no?”
- C. Tarek and Christina’s lending partners “will fund 100 percent of the real estate you buy, fund 100 percent of the rehab, regardless of your credit or your background.”
- D. “... we’re going to give you money to buy and to renovate” the properties.
- E. Trusted lending partners “will fund all of your deals, folks.”
- F. “Our lending partners, they don’t care about your credit. They don’t care about your job history. All that they care about is the what? Is the deal. If the deal is a good deal, then they will fund it.”
- G. The funding sources will help the audience “with no money out of your own pocket.”
- H. “Okay, then we’re going to teach you to implement the right plan, start to finish. When that happens, call us up, we get the funding for you, not you. We deal with these 100 percent funding partners every day, not you...And if they won’t fund it, we’ll get your deal funded, just know that, and we do that, not you, all right.”

46. Zurixx's 100% funding claims are false or unsubstantiated. In numerous instances, if not virtually always, consumers who attempt to get 100% funding for potential real estate investments are unable to do so.

Misrepresentations At The Free Event That Making Thousands of Dollars In Profit From Real Estate Investing Requires Little Time And Effort

47. Zurixx routinely represents to consumers that little time and effort are required to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

48. For example, Zurixx presenters made the following representations between March 2018 and December 2018 in California and Florida:

- A. "A lot of our students are spending anywhere from eight to 10 hours a week and getting above average results. Because once you know the recipe, you're able to get the results a whole lot faster."
- B. "Flipping...figure 10 to 20 hours of your time...."
- C. A single mother who spent "twenty hours of work, [earned a] \$42,000 profit" on her "first deal from start to finish."
- D. "So, in the beginning, to make 40 offers, that would take anywhere from about 15 to maybe 20 hours over the next 12 months...."
- E. "Frank" who purchased property for \$335,000, sold it for \$490,000, for a profit of \$131,000 and spent "25 hours of [his] time total invested in this deal."
- F. "... it will take anywhere from ten hours to 40 hours in the next six months to make ten offers."
- G. "... wholesaling, figure five to ten hours of your time...."

49. Zurixx's claims that little time and effort are needed to make thousands of dollars in profit by investing in real estate are false and unsubstantiated. Consumers are not likely to

make thousands of dollars in profit by spending only a few hours a week on their real estate investment business.

Misrepresentations At The Free Event That Consumers Who Attend The 3-Day Workshop Will Learn Everything They Need To Know To Make Thousands of Dollars in Profit From Real Estate Investing

50. Zurixx routinely represents that consumers will learn all they need to know at the 3-day workshop to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

51. For example, Zurixx made the following representations between March 2018 and July 2019 in California, Florida, Georgia, and Virginia:

- A. "By the end of our three-day investment accelerator, graduates will have the knowledge, expertise, and training to put together sound, effective loan packages that are custom-tailored to appeal to the right lending solutions to fit your needs. We will teach you what to do and what not to do to make sure your deals are profitable and attractive to lenders whether you're wholesaling, flipping, or acquiring income-producing properties."
- B. "You are going to come out of our three-day training class all set to be making ten offers a week on distressed properties...."
- C. "Not only are [Tarek and Christina El Moussa] going to give you a professional education, not only are they going to give you a list of all their lending partners to fund all your deals plus to rehab regardless of your credit, not only are they going to give you the cash buyers list so you have the buyers, but they are going to guarantee that you or your partners closes a deal within three months, you get your money back or you and partner don't do the deal in six months, if you want to make five offers and your partner makes five offers, that includes the ten right here."
- D. "Our three day investment accelerator will teach you to leverage cutting-edge real estate strategies to generate profits repeatedly and we'll grant you access to our network of lenders and private investors who are always looking to lend capital on sound real estate deals."

52. The claim that consumers are likely to learn at the 3-day workshop all they need to know to make thousands of dollars in profit from investing in real estate is false or

unsubstantiated. In fact, at the 3-day workshop, Zurixx itself routinely represents to consumers that the workshop is a just a “beginner” course, and in order to make thousands of dollars in profit, consumers need to also purchase Zurixx’s Diamond, Platinum, or Gold advanced package, which it advertises as “retailing” from \$35,792 to \$73,973, and is available at discounted prices from \$21,297 to \$41,297, but only if purchased at the 3-day workshop.

Failure To Disclose Material Aspects Of Zurixx’s Refund Policy At The Free Event

53. Zurixx routinely touts two money-back guarantees to convince consumers to purchase the 3-day workshop. Zurixx represents that consumers who successfully complete a “positive cash flow real estate transaction” within three months, and provide a testimonial to Zurixx, will get their workshop purchase price back (the “three-month guarantee”).

54. Zurixx also represents that consumers who fail to “make a minimum of THREE TIMES the amount” of the 3-day workshop within six months will receive 100% of the workshop purchase price back (the “six-month guarantee”).

55. For example, Zurixx presenters made the following representations between March 2018 and July 2019 in California, Florida, Georgia, and Virginia:

- A. “. . . we know our system works . . . And because of the fact that we are confident in our system, we’re willing to give you not only one but two 100 percent money-back guarantees around your success with this program.”
- B. “If it doesn’t work for you, we still give you \$2,000 back. This really is a no-lose situation as long as you try it.”
- C. “Remember, do a deal in 90 days, get your \$2,000 back. Don’t do a deal in six months, still get your \$2,000 back.”
- D. “You will either close your first profitable real estate deal within the first six months after that three-day [workshop] or 100 percent of your tuition will be sent back to you.”

- E. “So do a deal within three months after the [3-day workshop], give us a testimonial, you get your tuition back... You then have six months. If you have not closed at least one profitable real estate deal in six months with our help, the company sends you back 100 percent of your tuition anyway.”
- F. “If you don’t make any money, you’re going to get your money back . . . If it does not work out for you and your family, as long as you make an effort, they’re going to give you your money back, okay?”
- G. “If you didn’t make at least 6 grand, which is basically a finder’s fee, if we didn’t teach you how to find a property and where the buyers are to sell them and at least make a little [over] 6 grand, then you get your 2 grand back.”
- H. “If this isn’t everything you said it was or I said it was, six months, you’ll know, if we weren’t great at teaching you how to do it and it didn’t work, you’ll get your 2 grand back, in writing.”

56. Zurixx’s oral representations at the free event about the six-month guarantee are false or misleading. Its presenters routinely fail to disclose material conditions that Zurixx requires consumers to meet in order to receive a refund of the money that they paid for the 3-day workshop.

57. To be eligible for a refund under Zurixx’s six-month guarantee, the consumer must make more than 25 offers within the time period, and make 15 offers under the “guidance of [Zurixx’s] resource line help desk associates” if “in the first ten offers, [the consumer] ha[s] not made THREE TIMES [the] purchase price” of the 3-day workshop.

58. Numerous consumers first receive any information about the conditions described in Paragraph 57 after they pay Zurixx for the 3-day workshop. These conditions are written, in fine print, in a “Guarantee Certificate,” which Zurixx includes in a package of materials it provides to consumers who purchased the 3-day workshop.

59. Zurixx's presenters routinely fail to mention these restrictions in their oral presentations, and often provide consumers the package that includes the Guarantee Certificate only after the consumers have paid for the 3-day workshop.

60. In numerous instances, consumers are not able to obtain a refund of the \$1,997 they paid for the 3-day workshop because they do not meet the fine print requirements relating to the six-month guarantee.

61. Zurixx also fails to inform consumers that, as described in Paragraphs 87 through 91 below, in order to receive a refund of the \$1,997 fee for the 3-day workshop, a consumer must sign Zurixx's standard form agreement, which prohibits them from filing a complaint about Zurixx or its products with regulators, including the FTC and state Attorneys General, or communicating with others about Zurixx or their settlement. The form agreement also prohibits consumers from posting reviews regarding Zurixx or its products in written publications and on the internet.

The 3-Day Workshop

62. Zurixx typically conducts the 3-day workshops a week or two after holding the free event in a given locale.

63. Zurixx's presenters typically ask the audience how many attendees are new or just getting started in real estate investing. In numerous instances, consumers attending the 3-day workshop do not have experience in real estate investing.

64. The presenters speak generally about "fix and flipping" and "wholesaling" real estate investments. However, they spend much of the three days telling attendees they need to

purchase one of Zurixx's three "advanced" packages in order to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

65. At the workshop and on the receipts, Zurixx represents that the "retail" prices for its advanced Gold, Platinum, and Diamond packages are \$35,972, \$45,997, and \$73,973, respectively. The least expensive is the Gold package, which includes various components such as attendance at a Las Vegas Investors Summit, where consumers can purchase purported "discounted 'turnkey'" properties, and access to an online resource center. The Platinum package, the mid-level package, includes the components in the Gold package and adds the "Fast Start Three-Day Bootcamp," at which Zurixx's instructors "come to your area and work in a small group setting in class and in the field." The Diamond package, the most expensive package, includes all of the components from the two less expensive packages and adds a two-day one-on-one mentorship.

66. Zurixx's presenters and its receipts state that the "discounted" prices, which are \$21,297, \$26,297, and \$41,297 for the Gold, Platinum, and Diamond packages, are only available if purchased at the 3-day workshop.

67. Zurixx sells its three pricier "advanced" packages and related products, such as on-site mentoring or one-on-one telephone coaching, with misrepresentations designed to convince consumers they need to purchase one of the three advanced packages or the related products in order to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

**Misrepresentations At The 3-Day Workshop That Consumers
Are Likely To Make Thousands Of Dollars In Profit Through Real Estate Investing**

68. Zurixx makes representations about profit in order to sell its Gold, Platinum, and Diamond packages. For instance, Zurixx presenters made the following representations between April 2018 and July 2019 in Florida, Texas, and Virginia:

- A. "So that's a pretty average flip, \$40,000."
- B. "The average rehab makes \$66,000 in the U.S."
- C. With flipping, "you make a lot more money, probably about 40 to 100 [thousand] in your market."
- D. "... we set goals of \$120,000 to \$180,000 your first year."
- E. "Most of our rehab deals are 20 to 30 percent. 25 percent of 230,000 in Richmond, that's about 60 grand, maybe 55."
- F. "... allows you to make well over \$100,000 in a fraction of the time with even still the same set of repairs and things factored in."
- G. If "I'm not making \$30,000 on each flip, its not worth my time. Investors make far more money than most people do in the workplace."
- H. "That's what most of our students make on their first or second deal. Sometimes you can make more than that. So \$25,000 to \$55,000."
- I. "It doesn't take long to get \$2 million when you're flipping houses over the years that you're working."
- J. "With wholesaling...you make about three to five thousand dollars in profit, just pure cash" whereas flipping leads to about "40 to 100 grand...."
- K. "So somewhere between three to five grand is typical for a wholesale deal."
- L. "\$384,126. Now, let's say you make 10 percent of that on your first wholesale deal. That's \$38,000. How many think that's okay? I said okay."
- M. "So if you do \$100,000-plus deals, you can probably do one deal a month and make 10 grand from wholesaling."

69. In addition, Zurixx typically distributes workbooks to attendees that contain the following earnings representations regarding wholesale deals:

- A. "Make \$5,000 (Minimum)...6 Hours Total"
- B. "How much is that per hour?...\$833.33 per hour!!!"
- C. "Two Wholesale Deals Per Month is \$120,000 Annual Income!"

70. Zurixx presenters commonly reference consumers who purportedly purchased Zurixx's advanced packages and made a profit from fixing and flipping or wholesaling real estate properties, or completed numerous deals within a short period.

71. For example, Zurixx presenters made the following representations between April 2018 and July 2019 in Florida, Texas, and Virginia:

- A. Brian did 40 flips in his first year.
- B. Izzie made \$75 thousand on his first flip in Tulsa.
- C. Courtney and his wife used the credit they raised during the first day of the workshop to pay for the advanced education. He was able to make \$35,000 on his first flipping deal after the advanced education and \$67,000 after a second deal.
- D. "Brittany joined us. She was living in her car at one point...The first year, she did four deals, made about 300 grand using other people's money."
- E. "Our students are at least doing 10, 15, 20, 30 deals a month."
- F. "A lot of [our students] net 20 to 30 percent, sometimes 40 percent on their rehabs."
- G. "We've had students literally in their first year make upwards of half a million dollars. That's not an exaggeration."
- H. "We have students . . . making 30 grand a year doing five deals a year. 40, 50, 60, 70 grand, making hundreds of thousands of dollars"
- I. "A lot of our students on a deal or two make about 75 grand"

- J. “[MF], one of our students, he’s a wholesaler in Hagerstown, Maryland, Washington, D.C., somewhere here in Virginia...He does 30 to 50 wholesale deals a month.”

72. Zurixx’s earnings claims are false or unsubstantiated. Contrary to its representations, consumers who purchase one of the advanced packages are not likely to earn thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

Zurixx Instructs Consumers At The 3-Day Workshop To Provide Speculative And Unsubstantiated Future Income On Credit Applications

73. Many consumers need to rely on credit to pay for Zurixx’s pricey advanced packages.

74. Zurixx routinely instructs consumers to contact credit card issuers during the workshops in order to obtain new credit cards or increases in credit limits on existing cards to fund real estate deals. It often provides a list of banks it suggests consumers contact.

75. Zurixx presenters routinely instruct workshop attendees, to represent to credit card issuers, income that is significantly higher than the consumer’s current income.

76. Zurixx’s presenters routinely tell consumers that it is permissible to state a higher income to credit issuers because of the income the consumers will realize from investing in real estate. For example, Zurixx presenters made the following statements in April 2018 and September 2018 in Texas and Virginia:

- A. “Tell them [(credit card issuers)] how much you are going to make this year as a real estate investor, okay? So a good rule of thumb there would be about 100K more than you did last year... We are not lying. We are projecting.”
- B. You are “legally able to...reasonably estimate the next 12 months of income” and “you decide anywhere between \$120,000 to \$200,000...you’re reasonable estimating your next 12 months of income.”

77. Zurixx's income projection instructions to consumers, as described in Paragraphs 74 through 76, constitute another example of its use of false or unsubstantiated earnings claims to persuade consumers to purchase its products. Zurixx has no reasonable basis for the earnings projections it instructs consumers to submit to credit issuers.

78. In numerous instances, Zurixx's presenters tell consumers to use their credit cards to purchase the advanced packages. In numerous instances, consumers spend some or all the money that they obtain from their increased credit limits to pay for Zurixx's advanced packages.

Misrepresentations At The 3-Day Workshop That Profitable Real Estate Investing Requires Little Time And Effort

79. Zurixx often reiterates that making thousands of dollars in profit from real estate investing takes little time and effort.

80. For example, Zurixx presenters made the following representations between February 2019 and July 2019 in Florida and Virginia:

- A. "Our system is designed to fit into your life because it's been . . . changed in order to say, okay, start off at five to 10 hours a week . . . Because it was designed for adults with children and full-time jobs."
- B. "Most of our students are part-time."
- C. "It's easy to find great deals."
- D. "It's easy to find buyers and money."
- E. "Three to five hours a week focused."

81. The claims that little time and effort are needed to generate thousands of dollars in profit from real estate investing are false or unsubstantiated.

82. Purchasers of Zurixx's products are not likely to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing with little time or effort.

Zurixx's Additional Products

83. In numerous instances, Zurixx pitches via its telemarketers one-on-one telephone coaching or on-site mentoring programs to consumers regardless of whether they have purchased one of its products. Zurixx often tells consumers who purchased its products that one-on-one coaching and on-site mentoring are necessary to generate a profit of thousands of dollars in real estate investing.

84. Numerous consumers have paid Zurixx thousands of dollars for the additional one-on-one coaching or mentoring program.

85. Contrary to Zurixx's representations, consumers who purchase its one-on-one coaching or the mentoring program are not likely to make thousands of dollars in profit.

86. In numerous instances, consumers not only fail to earn back the tens of thousands of dollars they spent on Zurixx's various products, but also are left to pay off the loans that they obtained from retirement programs to pay for Zurixx's products or deal with crushing credit card debt. In some instances, consumers have had to file for bankruptcy because of such debt.

Form Settlement Provisions That Prohibit Consumers From Pursuing Or Filing Complaints With Regulators And Other Entities

87. Some dissatisfied Zurixx consumers seek refunds of the monies they paid to Zurixx. In many instances, persistent consumers may succeed in getting a partial refund, but often only if they sign Zurixx's standard form agreement, which prohibits them from filing a complaint about Zurixx or its products with regulators, including the FTC and state Attorneys General, or communicating with others about Zurixx or their settlement. The form agreement also prohibits consumers from posting reviews regarding Zurixx or its products in written publications and on the internet.

88. The agreement that Zurixx requires consumers to sign in exchange for a partial or full refund commonly includes the following language:

Customer agrees that it shall not file or pursue any other claim or action pertaining to Customer's interactions with [Zurixx brand name], its clients, officers, directors, affiliates, members, owners, employees, agents, spouses, partners, heirs, successors and assigns, including but not limited to administrative complaints with the Better Business Bureau, civil litigation, internet postings or blogs, magazine, newspaper or other such published articles, complaints with any state or federal government agency, including but not limited to Attorneys' General or FTC, or credit card/merchant chargeback,/refund transactions....

89. The agreements contain standardized terms that are or were imposed on consumers without a meaningful opportunity for them to negotiate the standardized terms.

90. Agreements executed by Zurixx and consumers that included the language quoted in Paragraph 88 have been in effect since December 14, 2017.

91. Zurixx has taken steps to enforce the agreement provision quoted in Paragraph 88. For example, it has sued consumers who have complained to the Better Business Bureau regarding its practices or have spoken to other consumers about their settlements. Some consumers have been reluctant to speak with regulators, including the FTC and the Division, about their experience with Zurixx because of the prohibition in their agreements.

92. Based on the facts and violations of law alleged in this Complaint, the FTC and the Division have reason to believe that Zurixx is violating or is about to violate laws enforced by the Commission and the Division.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT

93. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."

94. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

95. As set forth below, Defendants have engaged in violations of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act in connection with the marketing and sale of their real estate investment products or services.

COUNT I – MISREPRESENTATIONS REGARDING EARNINGS

(By Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission)

96. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of Zurixx's real estate investing products or services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that consumers who purchase Zurixx's products or services are likely to earn thousands of dollars in profit.

97. The representations set forth in Paragraph 96 of this Complaint are false or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

98. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 96 of this Complaint constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT II – OTHER MISREPRESENTATIONS REGARDING ZURIXX'S PRODUCTS OR SERVICES

(By Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission)

99. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of Zurixx's real estate investing products or services, Defendants have

represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that by purchasing Zurixx's products or services consumers will:

- (a) Receive 100% funding to do real estate deals regardless of their credit;
- (b) Spend little time and effort to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing; and/or
- (c) Learn everything they need to know at the 3-day workshop to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

100. The representations set forth in Paragraph 99 are false or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

101. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 99 of this Complaint constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT III – FAILURE TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL ASPECTS OF REFUND POLICY

(By Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission)

102. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of Zurixx's real estate investing products or services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that Zurixx will provide refunds to consumers who fail to make a minimum of three times the cost of the 3-day workshop within six months.

103. In numerous instances in which Defendants have made the representation set forth in Paragraph 102, Zurixx has failed to disclose, or to disclose adequately, to consumers material aspects of Zurixx's refund policy, including one or both of the following requirements:

- (a) To obtain a refund of the cost of the 3-day workshop, consumers who fail to make a minimum of three times the amount of the workshop must make more than 25 offers within the time period and make 15 offers under the “guidance of the resource line help desk associates” if, in the first ten offers, the consumer has not made three times the purchase price of the workshop; and
- (b) Consumers must sign a form settlement provision that prohibits them from filing a complaint, or posting reviews, about Zurixx or its products with regulators or other entities in order to obtain even a partial refund.

104. In light of the representations set forth in Paragraph 102 above, Defendants’ failure to disclose or to disclose adequately the material information set forth in Paragraph 103 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMER REVIEW FAIRNESS ACT

105. The Consumer Review Fairness Act of 2016 (“CRFA”) Pub. L. No. 114-258, 15 U.S.C. § 45b, was enacted on December 14, 2016. As of March 14, 2017, Section 2(b) of the CRFA renders void, and Section 2(c) of the CRFA prohibits the offering of, provisions in form contracts that: prohibit or restrict individual consumers’ ability to communicate reviews, performance assessments, and similar analyses about a seller’s goods, services, or conduct; or that impose a penalty or fee against individual consumers who engage in such communications. 15 U.S.C. §§ 45b(a)(2), 45b(b)(1), and 45b(c).

106. The CRFA defines a “form contract” as a contract, other than an employer-employee or independent contractor contract, with “standardized terms” – “used by a person in

the course of selling or leasing the person's goods or services" and "imposed on an individual without a meaningful opportunity for such individual to negotiate the standardized terms." 15 U.S.C. § 45b(a)(3).

107. The Commission is authorized to enforce Section 2(c) of the CRFA in the same manner, by the same means, and with the same jurisdiction, powers, and duties as though all applicable terms and provisions of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58, were incorporated into and made a part of the CRFA. 15 U.S.C. § 45b(2)(A). The Commission's enforcement authority under the CRFA applies to contracts in effect on or after December 14, 2017. 15 U.S.C. § 45b(i)(2).

108. Pursuant to Section 2(d)(1) of the CRFA, 15 U.S.C. § 45b(d)(1), a violation of Section 2(c) of the CRFA constitutes a violation of a rule promulgated under Section 18(a)(1)(B) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(1)(B), and therefore constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT IV – CRFA VIOLATION

(By Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission)

109. In numerous instances, since March 14, 2017, Defendants have offered, in the course of selling Zurixx's real estate investing products or services, form contracts that contain provisions that prohibit or restrict the ability of consumers purchasing Zurixx's products or services from engaging in reviews, performance assessments, and similar analyses of Zurixx's goods, services, or conduct.

110. Therefore, the acts and practices set forth in Paragraph 109 of this Complaint, violate the CRFA.

VIOLATIONS OF THE UCSPA

111. The UCSPA prohibits suppliers from committing deceptive and unconscionable acts or practices in connection with a consumer transaction, whether the act occurs before, during, or after the transaction. Utah Code §§ 13-11-4(1); 13-11-5(1).

112. Defendants engage in “consumer transaction[s]” by marketing and selling to “person[s]” products and services that are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, or for purposes that relate to a business opportunity. Utah Code §§ 13-11-3(2), (5).

113. Defendants are “suppliers” because they regularly solicit, engage in, or enforce consumer transactions, whether or not they deal directly with consumers. Utah Code § 13-11-3(6).

114. As set forth below, Defendants have violated the UCSPA by engaging in deceptive and unconscionable acts and practices in connection with the marketing and sale of their real estate investment related products and services.

COUNT V – DECEPTIVE ACTS OR PRACTICES (EARNINGS CLAIMS)

(By Plaintiff Utah Division of Consumer Protection)

115. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of Zurixx’s real estate investment products or services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that consumers who purchase Zurixx’s products or services are likely to earn thousands of dollars in profit.

116. The representations as set forth in Paragraph 115 are false or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

117. Therefore, Defendants’ representations as set forth in Paragraph 115 of this

Complaint constitute a deceptive act or practice in violation of the UCSPA, Utah Code § 13-11-4(1).

COUNT VI – DECEPTIVE ACTS OR PRACTICES (PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PROVIDED)

(By Plaintiff Utah Division of Consumer Protection)

118. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of Zurixx's real estate investing products or services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that by purchasing Zurixx's products or services, consumers will:

- (a) Receive 100% funding to do real estate deals regardless of their credit;
- (b) Spend little time and effort to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing; and/or
- (c) Learn everything they need to know at the 3-day workshop to make thousands of dollars in profit through real estate investing.

119. The representations set forth in Paragraph 118 are false or were not substantiated at the time the representations were made.

120. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 118 of this Complaint constitute a deceptive act or practice in violation of USCPA § 13-11-4(1).

COUNT VII – FAILURE TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL ASPECTS OF REFUND POLICY

(By Plaintiff Utah Division of Consumer Protection)

121. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of its real estate investing products or services, Defendants have represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that it will provide refunds to

consumers who fail to make a minimum of three times the cost of the 3-day workshop within six months.

122. In numerous instances in which Defendants have made the representations set forth in Paragraph 121, Defendants have failed to disclose, or to disclose adequately, to consumers material aspects of Zurixx's refund policy, including one or both of the following requirements:

- (a) To obtain a refund of the cost of the 3-day workshop, consumers who fail to make a minimum of three times the amount of the workshop must make more than 25 offers within the time period and make 15 offers under the "guidance of the resource line help desk associates" if, in the first ten offers, the consumer has not made three times the purchase price of the workshop; and
- (b) Consumers must sign a form settlement provision that prohibits them from filing a complaint, or posting reviews, about Zurixx or its products with regulators or other entities in order to obtain even a partial refund.

123. In light of the representations set forth in Paragraph 121 above, Defendants' failure to disclose or to disclose adequately the material information set forth in Paragraph 122 of this Complaint constitutes a deceptive act or practice in violation of USCPA § 13-11-4(1).

VIOLATIONS OF THE BODA

124. The BODA requires sellers of "assisted marketing plans" to annually file certain information with the Division. Utah Code § 13-15-4.

125. The BODA also requires sellers of assisted marketing plans to provide to prospective purchasers of the assisted marketing plans written disclosures in a single disclosure

statement or prospectus at least ten days prior to execution of a purchase agreement or payment by the purchaser. Utah Code §§ 13-15-4; 13-15-5.

126. The BODA defines a “seller” as “a person who sells or offers to sell an assisted marketing plan.” Utah Code § 13-15-2(8).

127. The BODA defines “assisted marketing plans” as “any products, equipment, supplies, or services that are sold to the purchaser upon payment of an initial consideration of \$500 or more for the purpose of enabling the purchaser to start a business,” in which the seller represents, among other things, that “the seller will provide the purchaser with a guarantee that the purchaser will receive income from the assisted marketing plan that exceeds the price paid for the assisted marketing plan.” Utah Code § 13-15-2(1)(a)(iv).

128. As set forth below, Defendants have violated the BODA by failing to file required information with the Division, and by failing to provide required disclosures to prospective purchasers of Defendants’ assisted marketing plans.

**COUNT VIII – FAILURE TO FILE REQUIRED INFORMATION WITH THE
DIVISION**

(By Plaintiff Utah Division of Consumer Protection)

129. In numerous instances, since at least July 2013, Defendants have offered and sold assisted marketing plans under a variety of fictitious names.

130. Since at least July 2013, Defendants have failed to file with the Division the information required by Utah Code § 13-15-4 with respect to any of the assisted marketing plans Defendants offered and sold.

131. Therefore, the acts and practices set forth in Paragraphs 129 – 130 violate the BODA.

COUNT IX – FAILURE TO PROVIDE REQUIRED DISCLOSURES TO PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS

(By Plaintiff Utah Division of Consumer Protection)

132. In numerous instances, since at least July 2013, Defendants have offered and sold assisted marketing plans to consumers.

133. Since at least July 2013, Defendants have not provided any of the required disclosures to prospective purchasers of its assisted marketing plans in a single disclosure statement or prospectus at least ten business days prior to the execution of a consumer's agreement to purchase one of Defendants' plans, or ten business days prior to payment by the consumer of any consideration in exchange for the assisted marketing plan. Utah Code §§ 13-15-4; 13-15-5.

134. Therefore, the acts and practices set forth in Paragraphs 132 – 133 violate the BODA.

CONSUMER INJURY

135. Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injury as a result of Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, the CRFA, the UCSPA and the BODA. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

136. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable

jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

137. Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, authorizes this Court to grant such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the CRFA, including the rescission or reformation of contracts, and the refund of monies paid, the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, and prejudgment interest.

138. The UCSPA authorizes this Court to enter a declaratory judgment that Defendants' acts or practices violate the UCSPA, to enjoin, in accordance with the principles of equity, any person who has violated, is violating, or is otherwise likely to violate the UCSPA, to award damages or relief on behalf of consumers for Defendants' violations of the UCSPA, to award a fine against Defendants for violations of the UCSPA in an amount determined by the Court, and to award the Division reasonable attorney's fees, court costs, and costs of investigation. Utah Code §§ 13-11-17(1)(a)-(d); 13-11-17.5.

139. The BODA authorizes this Court, in addition to any other relief granted by the Court, to grant judgment and injunctive relief in favor of the Division, and to award the Division reasonable attorney's fees, costs of court, and investigative fees for Defendants' violations of the BODA. Utah Code § 13-15-6(3).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff FTC, pursuant to Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 2(d) of the CRFA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45b(d), and Plaintiff Division,

pursuant to the UCSPA and the BODA, and as authorized by the Court's own equitable powers, request that the Court:

A. Award Plaintiffs such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions against all Defendants and appointment of a monitor over Corporate Defendants;

B. Enter a permanent injunction against all Defendants to prevent future violations of the FTC Act, the CRFA, the UCSPA, and the BODA by Defendants;

C. Award against Corporate Defendants such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Corporate Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, the CRFA, the UCSPA, and the BODA including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies;

D. Award civil penalties for each violation of the UCSPA and the BODA; and

E. Award Plaintiffs the cost of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

ALDEN F. ABBOTT
General Counsel

Dated: September 30,
2019

Collet Guerard
COLLOT GUERARD
JOSHUA DOAN
MIRY KIM
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, CC-8528
Washington, DC 20580
Telephone: (202) 326-3338
cguerard@ftc.gov
jdoan@ftc.gov
mkim@ftc.gov
Attorneys for Plaintiff
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Dated: Sept. 30, 2019

Thomas M. Melton
THOMAS M. MELTON (4999)
ROBERT G. WING (4445)
DOUGLAS DEVORE (11170)
KEVIN MCLEAN (16101)
Assistant Attorney General
Utah Attorney General's Office
160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
Telephone: 801-366-0310
tmelton@agutah.gov
rwing@agutah.gov
dedevore@agutah.gov
kmclean@agutah.gov
Attorneys for Plaintiff
UTAH DIVISION OF CONSUMER
PROTECTION